arXiv:1504.03665v1 [math.CA] 9 Apr 2015

NUIJ TYPE PENCILS OF HYPERBOLIC POLYNOMIALS

KRZYSZTOF KURDYKA AND LAURENTIU PAUNESCU

Abstract. Nuij's theorem states that if a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ is hyperbolic (i.e. has only real roots) then $p + sp'$ is also hyperbolic for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We study other perturbations of hyperbolic polynomials of the form $p_a(z,s) := p(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k s^k p^{(k)}(z)$. We give a full characterization of those $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for which $p_a(z,s)$ is a pencil of hyperbolic polynomials. We give also a full characterization of those $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for which the associated families $p_a(z,s)$ admit universal determinantal representations. In fact we show that all these sequences come from special symmetric Toeplitz matrices.

1. Introduction

Hyperbolic polynomials and the problem of their determinantal representations is a very active area of real algebraic geometry. A nice survey of Vinnikov [9] is a good source on recent developments in this subject. The goal of this paper is a study of 1-parameter families of hyperbolic polynomials and their universal determinantal representations. Recall that a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ is called *hyperbolic* if all its roots are real. Clearly any monic hyperbolic polynomial of degree d is a characteristic polynomial of a symmetric $d \times d$ matrix. First we recall the following theorem proved by W. Nuij [6].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ be a hyperbolic polynomial, then*

$$p + sp'$$

is hyperbolic for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We give below a proof of this result, based on the existence of determinantal representation of the family of the polynomials $p + sp'$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact we state and prove a generalization of Nuij's result. To this end we propose the following definition.

Definition 1.2. *We say that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence if for any hyperbolic polynomial p of degree d, the polynomial*

$$p_a(z,s) := p(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k s^k p^{(k)}(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z], \tag{1.1}$$

is hyperbolic for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}_d$ the set of all Nuij sequences in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Date: April 15, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A15, 30C10, 47A56.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic polynomial, determinantal representation, symmetric Toeplitz matrix.

The work was partially supported by ANR project STAAVF (France). The first author thanks the University of Sydney for support.

Note that by Theorem 1.1, $a = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is a Nuij sequence for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 1$. On the other hand, repeated application of Theorem 1.1, also produces Nuij sequences; for instance we have

$$p + sp' + s(p + sp')' = p + 2sp' + s^2 p''.$$

Hence $(2, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is a Nuij sequence for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 2$. In Section 3 we shall see however that there is an essential difference between those two families, with respect to their determinantal representations.

Surprisingly the set $\mathcal{N}_d$ has a nice explicit description.

Theorem A. *A sequence $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence if and only if the polynomial*

$$q_a(z) := z^d + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k (z^d)^{(k)} = z^d + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k \frac{d!}{(d-k)!} z^{d-k} \tag{1.2}$$

is hyperbolic.

In other words, the theorem states that to check that a given $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence it is enough to check hyperbolicity of $p_a(z, s)$ only for $p(z) = z^d$. The proof is given in Section 2; it is based on a deep result of Borcea and Brändén [1] which gives a characterization of linear maps (on the space of polynomials) preserving hyperbolic polynomials.

The second part, developed in Section 3, concerns universal determinantal representation of some Nuij sequences.

Definition 1.3. We say that $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{N}_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ admits a *universal determinantal representation* if there exists a symmetric matrix A_a such that for any hyperbolic polynomial p of degree d we have

$$p_a(z, s) = \det(zI + D + sA_a), \tag{1.3}$$

where D is a diagonal matrix whose characteristic polynomial is equal to $p = p_a(z, 0)$. The matrix A_a will be referred as a *matrix associated to the sequence* $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$. We denote by $\mathcal{UN}_d$ the set of all Nuij sequences in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which admit universal determinantal representations.

Recall that a square matrix is *Toeplitz* if all parallels to the principal diagonal are constant. We say that a symmetric Toeplitz matrix is *special* if all entries outside the principal diagonal are equal to some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and of course all entries on the principal diagonal are equal to some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In the sequel we will denote such a $d \times d$ matrix by $T_{\alpha,\beta}(d)$, and its determinant by $t_{\alpha,\beta}(d) := \det T_{\alpha,\beta}(d) = (\alpha - \beta)^{d-1}(\alpha + (d-1)\beta)$. We obtain the following characterization of all Nuij sequences which admit universal determinantal representations.

Theorem B. *A sequence $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence with a universal determinantal representation if and only if there exit $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i!} t_{\alpha,\beta}(i),\ i = 1, \ldots, d. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Hyperbolic polynomials and Nuij sequences

First we recall some facts about the space $\mathcal{H}_1^d$ of hyperbolic (monic) polynomials of some fixed degree d. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial

$$c_k(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}, \tag{2.1}$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, d$. We will identify any $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with a monic polynomial $h_b := z^d + \sum_{k=1}^d b_k z^{d-k}$. Thus we can write $\mathcal{H}_1^d = c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the Viète map, hence by the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem it follows that $\mathcal{H}_1^d$ is semialgebraic. Moreover, the Viète map $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is generically a submersion, hence $\mathcal{H}_1^d = c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has nonempty interior. In fact $\mathcal{H}_1^d$ is a basic semialgebraic set which can be described using generalized discriminants or Bezoutians (see a nice exposition in [7] or even a more detailed in [8]). Recent developments on hyperbolic univariate polynomials are given by Kostov in his survey [3].

For the proof of Theorem A we need to recall several definitions and results from [1].

Definition 2.1. [1, Definition 1] We say that a polynomial $f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ is *stable* if $f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \neq 0$ for all n-tuples $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $im(z_j) > 0$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. If in addition f has real coefficients, it will be referred to as *real stable*. The set of stable and real stable polynomials in n variables will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$ respectively. Note that for $n = 1$ a polynomial f is real stable, precisely means that f is hyperbolic.

Let $T : \mathbb{C}_d[z] \to \mathbb{C}_d[z]$ be a linear map, where $\mathbb{C}_d[z]$ stands for the vector space (over $\mathbb{C}$) of complex polynomials of degree at most d. We extend it to a linear map $T : \mathbb{C}_d[z, w] \to \mathbb{C}_d[z, w]$, by setting $T(z^k w^l) := T(z^k) w^l$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, d$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We now state the result which is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2.2. [1, Theorem 4] *Let $T : \mathbb{C}_d[z] \to \mathbb{C}_d[z]$ be a linear map. Then T preserves stability if an only if either*

(i) *T has range of dimension at most one and is of the form*

$$T(f) = \alpha(f)P,$$

where $\alpha : \mathbb{C}_d[z] \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional and $P \in \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{C})$; or

(ii) *$T((z + w)^d) \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof of Theorem A.

Assume $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence. Hence by Definition 1.2 applied to $p(z) = z^d$ with $s = 1$ we obtain that the polynomial p_a defined by (1.1) is hyperbolic.

To prove the converse let us fix some $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that the polynomial q_a defined by (1.2) is hyperbolic. We associate to the sequence $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ a linear operator $T_a : \mathbb{C}_d[z] \to \mathbb{C}_d[z]$ defined by

$$T_a(p)(z) := p(z) + \sum_{k=1}^d a_k p^{(k)}(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z] \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. $T_a((z + w)^d) = q_a(z + w)$.

Proof. We expand first the right-hand side of (2.2)

$$T_a((z+w)^d) = T\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{d}{i}z^i w^{d-i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{d}{i}w^{d-i}T(z^i).$$

Note that

$$T_a(z^i) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j (z^i)^{(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j (z^i)^{(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} z^{i-j}$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{d}{i}w^{d-i}T(z^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{d}{i}w^{d-i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j \frac{i!}{(i-j)!}z^{i-j}\right),$$

hence

$$T_a((z+w)^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d}\frac{d!}{(d-i)!i!}z^{i-j}w^{d-i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j \frac{i!}{(i-j)!}z^{i-j}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand

$$q_a(z+w) = \sum_{i=0}^{d}\frac{d!}{(d-i)!}a_i(z+w)^{d-i}. \tag{2.4}$$

- The coefficient in (2.3) which comes with a_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=j}^{d}\frac{d!}{(d-i)!i!}\frac{i!}{(i-j)!}z^{i-j}w^{d-i} = \sum_{i-j=k=0}^{d}\frac{d!}{(d-k-j)!k!}z^k w^{d-j-k}$$

- The coefficient in (2.4) which comes with a_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ is equal to

$$\frac{d!}{(d-j)!}(z+w)^{d-j} = \frac{d!}{(d-j)!}\sum_{k=0}^{d}\binom{d-j}{k}z^k w^{d-j-k} = \sum_{i-j=k=0}^{d}\frac{d!}{(d-k-j)!}z^k w^{d-j-k}$$

Hence these coefficients are equal which proves the lemma.

□

By the assumption q_a has only real roots. Hence $q_a(z+w)$ is a stable polynomial in variables (z, w). Indeed, if $im(z) > 0$ and $im(w) > 0$ then $im(z+w) > 0$, so $q_a(z+w) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.3 we have $T_a((z+w)^d) = q_a(z+w)$. Applying Theorem 2.2 we conclude that the operator T_a preserves stability, hence T_a restricted to $\mathbb{R}_d[z]$ preserves hyperbolicity. Thus we have proved that

$$p_a(z,1) = p(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k p^{(k)}(z)$$

is hyperbolic whenever $p \in \mathbb{R}_d[z]$ is hyperbolic. Let us take $s \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and denote $a(s) := (sa_1, \dots, s^k a_k, \dots, s^d a_d)$. Then the polynomial

$$q_{a(s)}(z) := z^d + \sum_{k=1}^{d} s^k a_k (z^d)^{(k)} = z^d + \sum_{k=1}^{d} s^k a_k \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} z^{d-k}$$

is again hyperbolic since $q_{a(s)}(z) = s^{-d} q_a(sz)$. Thus, by applying the above argument to the sequence $a(s)$, we conclude that $p_a(z,s) := p(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k s^k p^{(k)}(z)$ is hyperbolic for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $p \in \mathbb{R}_d[z]$ hyperbolic. This ends the proof of Theorem A.

2.1. **Iterations of Nuij's sequences.** Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be two Nuij sequences, we define their composition $b \circ a := c = (c_1, \dots, c_d)$ in the following way. For any polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$

$$p_c(z,s) = (p_a)_b(z,s) = p_a(z,s) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} b_k s^k \frac{\partial^k p_a}{\partial z^k} = p + \sum_{k=1}^{d} c_k s^k p^{(k)}.$$

Note that with the convention $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ we have

$$c_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i b_{k-i}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $a^1, \dots, a^r \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define by induction the composition of r copies of sequences:

$$I_1(a^1) = a^1, \ I_r(a^1, \dots, a^r) := I_{r-1}(a^1, \dots, a^{r-1}) \circ a^r.$$

Explicitly, if $I_r(a^1, \dots, a^r) = c = (c_1, \dots, c_d)$, then

$$c_k = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r, i_1 + \cdots + i_r = k} a^1_{i_1} \cdots a^r_{i_r}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let us consider the original Nuij sequences of the form

$$a^i = (x_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.7}$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then, $I_d(a^1, \dots, a^d) = c = (c_1, \dots, c_d)$ is the Nuij sequence obtained by the iteration of a^i and

$$c_k = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Thus $c_k = c_k(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is in fact the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial of $x_1, \dots, x_d$. Denote by $c = (c_1, \dots, c_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ the Viète map and recall that $\mathcal{H}_1^d = c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we obtain that $\mathcal{H}_1^d \subset \mathcal{N}_d$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let us denote by $b_d : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ the following linear map:

$$b_d(a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_d) := (da_1, \dots, \frac{d!}{(d-k)!} a_k, \dots, d! a_d).$$

Theorem A and the above discussion can be summarized as follows.

Corollary 2.4. *For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_1^d \subset \mathcal{N}_d = b_d^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_1^d).$$

Example 2.5. For $d = 2$ we have $\mathcal{H}_1^2 = \{a_1^2 - 4a_2 \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}_2 = \{a_1^2 - 2a_2 \geq 0\}$.

3. Universal determinantal representations

We shall consider 1-parameter families of hyperbolic polynomials. A polynomial

$$p(z, s) = z^d + a_1(s)z^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d(s)$$

will be called a *pencil of hyperbolic polynomials* if and only if,

- for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the polynomial $z \mapsto p(s, z)$ is hyperbolic,
- each coefficient $a_i(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$ is of degree at most i.

For any $d \geq 1$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{PH}_d$ the space of such pencils of hyperbolic polynomials.

We say that a polynomial $p(z, s)$ admits a determinantal representation if there are real symmetric matrices A_0, A_1 such that

$$p(z, s) = \det(zI + A_0 + sA_1),$$

and clearly in this case $p(z, s)$ is a pencil of hyperbolic polynomials.

As an easy reformulation of a remarkable theorem of Helton and Vinnikov [2] reads

Theorem 3.1. *Any polynomial $p(z, s) \in \mathcal{PH}_d$ admits a determinantal representation.*

Indeed let us set $z = x^{-1}$ and $s = x^{-1}y$ and finally

$$f(x, y) := x^d p(z, s) = x^d p(x^{-1}, x^{-1}y).$$

Then f is a real zero polynomial in the sense of Helton-Vinnikov, so it has a determinantal representation according to Theorem 2.2 in [2]. In fact, as noticed by Lewis, Parrilo and Ramana [5], Theorem 3.1 is a positive answer to the nonhomogeneous version of the Lax conjecture [4].

We want to characterize all Nuij sequences $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{R}[z]$, hyperbolic polynomial of degree d, the associated pencil of hyperbolic polynomials

$$p_a(z, s) := p + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k s^k p^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}[z],$$

admits a *universal determinantal representation*; by this we mean that there exists a symmetric matrix A_a such that

$$p_a(z, s) = \det(zI + D + sA_a),$$

where D is a diagonal matrix. In other words $-D$ has on the diagonal all the roots of p written in an arbitrary order. The matrix A_a will be referred as a *matrix associated to the sequence* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$. We denote by $\mathcal{UN}_d$ the set of all Nuij sequences in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which admit universal determinantal representations.

3.1. **Special Toeplitz matrices.** Recall that a square matrix is called *Toeplitz matrix* if all parallels to the principal diagonal are constant. We say that a symmetric Toeplitz matrix is *special* if all entries outside the principal diagonal are equal to some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and of course all entries on the principal diagonal are equal to some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We will denote such a matrix by $T_{\alpha,\beta}$.

In the next proposition we will show that special Toeplitz matrices give all Nuij sequences which admit universal determinantal representations.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{UN}_d$. Then, there exists a special Toeplitz matrix $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ which is associated to the sequence a. The constant α is unique. For $d = 2$ we have two choices β or $-\beta$. If $d \geq 3$, then β is uniquely determined.*

Proof. Let us fix a sequence $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{UN}_d$, and let A_a be a symmetric matrix associated to a. It means that for any hyperbolic polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ we have

$$p_a(z, s) = \det(zI + D + sA_a), \tag{3.1}$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with characteristic polynomial equal to p. We will find a special Toeplitz matrix $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$p_a(z, s) = \det(zI + D + sT_{\alpha,\beta}).$$

As a piece of convention, we recall that a $j \times j$ minor of A_a is *principal* if it is the determinant of a matrix obtained from A_a by deleting rows and columns containing $d - j$ elements from the principal diagonal. With the assumption of Proposition 3.2 we have.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $j = 1, \ldots, d$, all $j \times j$ principal minors of A_a are equal.*

Let $-\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_d$ be the roots of p. Since p can be chosen arbitrarily, we may consider both sides of the identity 3.1 as polynomials with real coefficients in variables $w_i := z + \lambda_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is a field of characteristic 0, the coefficients corresponding to the monomials in $w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_j}$, where $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$, on right-hand and left-hand side are equal. It is enough to expand both sides to check the statement of the lemma. In particular the 1×1 minors, which are actually the entries on the principal diagonal, are all equal to some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A_a = (a_{ij})$. Then there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any distinct i, j we have $a_{ij}^2 = \beta^2$.*

Indeed to each entry a_{ij}, $i \neq j$ we can associate the 2×2 principal minor

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a_{ij} \\ a_{ij} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^2 - a_{ij}^2$$

Hence by Lemma 3.3 all a_{ij}^2 are equal for $i \neq j$. We put $\beta^2 = a_{ij}^2$. Clearly the statement of Proposition 3.2 is trivial for $\beta = 0$, so in the sequel we assume that $\beta \neq 0$.

Before analyzing the case of $j \times j$ principal minors, where $j \geq 3$, we need an explicit formula for the determinant of a special Toeplitz matrix $T_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Lemma 3.5. *If $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a special Toeplitz matrix of size $d \times d$, then*

$$t_{\alpha,\beta}(d) := \det T_{\alpha,\beta} = (\alpha - \beta)^{d-1}(\alpha + (d - 1)\beta). \tag{3.2}$$

Next we consider the 3×3 principal minors of the matrix A_a. We know by Lemma 3.4, that for any $i \neq j$ we have $a_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}|\beta|$, where $\epsilon_{ij} \in \{-1, 1\}$. We will show that the sign of ϵ_{ij} can be uniformly chosen, which means that either $\epsilon_{ij} = 1$ for all $i \neq j$, or $\epsilon_{ij} = -1$ for all $i \neq j$. Le us write this minor in the form

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \epsilon_{ij}|\beta| & \epsilon_{ik}|\beta| \\ \epsilon_{ij}|\beta| & \alpha & \epsilon_{jk}|\beta| \\ \epsilon_{ik}|\beta| & \epsilon_{jk}|\beta| & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^3 + 2\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon_{jk}\beta^2|\beta| - 3\alpha\beta^2. \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 3.3 all these minors are equal, so there exists $\xi \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that for all choices $1 \leq i < j < k \leq d$ we have

$$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon_{jk} = \xi. \tag{3.4}$$

This shows that we may chose $\epsilon_{ij} = \xi$ for all $i \neq j$.

Assume now that $d \geq 4$. We have to show that if we put $\epsilon_{ij} = \xi$ for any $i \neq j$, then actually all principal minors $j \times j$, $j \geq 4$ are equal to the value of a principal minor $j \times j$, $j \geq 4$ for the original matrix A_a, so in fact they are determined just by ξ. Note that it is enough to consider the case $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. First we consider the case $d = 4$, so

$$A_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} & \epsilon_{14} \\ \epsilon_{12} & 0 & \epsilon_{23} & \epsilon_{24} \\ \epsilon_{13} & \epsilon_{23} & 0 & \epsilon_{34} \\ \epsilon_{14} & \epsilon_{24} & \epsilon_{34} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $i \geq 2$ we multiply the ith row of A_a by ϵ_{1i} and use relation 3.4. Thus we obtain the matrix

$$B_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} & \epsilon_{14} \\ 1 & 0 & \xi\epsilon_{13} & \xi\epsilon_{14} \\ 1 & \xi\epsilon_{12} & 0 & \xi\epsilon_{14} \\ 1 & \xi\epsilon_{12} & \xi\epsilon_{13} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $j \geq 2$ we multiply the jth column of B_a by ϵ_{1j} and use the fact that $\epsilon_{1i}^2 = 1$. So we obtain the matrix

$$C_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \xi & \xi \\ 1 & \xi & 0 & \xi \\ 1 & \xi & \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying the first row and the first column of C_a by ξ, we can see that

$$\det C_a = \xi^2 \det T_{0,1} = t_{0,1}(4) = -3.$$

But on the other hand $\det C_a = (\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{14})^2 \det A_a = \det A_a$. Accordingly we may assume that $A_a = T_{0,\xi}$. The same argument applies for any $d > 4$. Hence the existence in Proposition 3.2 follows. To proof the uniqueness, note that α and β^2 are uniquely determined. Clearly the equation $a_3 = \frac{1}{3!}(\alpha - \beta)^2(\alpha + 2\beta)$ uniquely determines β. $\square$

As a consequence we obtain the following characterization of Nuij sequences which admit universal determinantal representations.

Theorem B. *A sequence* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *is a Nuij sequence with a universal determinantal representation if and only if there exits* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i!} t_{\alpha,\beta}(i),\ i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. If $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a special Toeplitz matrix, then for any hyperbolic polynomial $p(z) = (z + \lambda_1) \dots (z + \lambda_d)$ we have a pencil of polynomials

$$p_a(z, s) := p + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k s^k p^{(k)}(z) = \det(zI + D + sT_{\alpha,\beta})$$

where $a_i = \frac{1}{i!} t_{\alpha,\beta}(i)$, and D is a diagonal matrix with entries $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$. So the sequence $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is a Nuij sequence with a universal determinantal representation. Conversely, if $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Nuij sequence with a universal determinantal representation, then by Proposition 3.2 the associated matrix can be chosen as a special Toeplitz matrix $T_{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence $a_i = \frac{1}{i!} t_{\alpha,\beta}(i)$. □

Example 3.6. Note that the original Nuij sequence $a = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ has a universal determinantal representation. Indeed, $T_{1,1}$, which has all entries equal to 1, is the matrix associated to this sequence. Note, that this also proves Nuij's Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.7. A composition of the original Nuij sequence $a = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with itself gives a Nuij sequence $b = (2, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ which has no universal determinantal representation for $d \geq 3$. Indeed, if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b_i = \frac{1}{i!} t_{\alpha,\beta}(i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 = 2$. Hence $\beta = \pm\sqrt{2}$. But, then $6b_3 = \alpha^3 + 2\beta^3 - 3\alpha\beta^2 \neq 0$, so $b_3 \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Borcea, P. Brändén, *Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries*, Ann. of Math. (2) 170 (2009), no. 1, 465 – 492.

[2] J. W. Helton, V. Vinnikov, *Linear matrix inequality representation of sets*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), no. 5, 654 – 674.

[3] V. P. Kostov, *Topics on hyperbolic polynomials in one variable.* Panoramas et Synthèses, 33. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011. vi+141 pp.

[4] P. Lax, *Differential equations, difference equations and matrix theory,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 11 (1958), 175 –194.

[5] A. Lewis, P. Parrilo, M. Ramana, *The Lax conjecture is true*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 2495 – 2499.

[6] W. Nuij, *A note on hyperbolic polynomials*, Math. Scand. 23 (1968), 69–72.

[7] C. Procesi, *Positive symmetric functions*, Adv. in Math. 29, no. 2 (1978), 219 – 225.

[8] A. Rainer, *Perturbation of hyperbolic polynomials and related lifting problems*, preprint: http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/∼armin/publ/roots-lifts.pdf

[9] V.Vinnikov, *LMI representations of convex semialgebraic sets and determinantal representations of algebraic hypersurfaces: past, present, and future.* Mathematical methods in systems, optimization, and control, 325 – 349, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 222, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.

Laboratoire de Mathematiques (LAMA), Université de Savoie, UMR 5127 CNRS,
73-376 Le Bourget-du-Lac cedex FRANCE
E-mail address: `Krzysztof.Kurdyka@univ-savoie.fr`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
E-mail address: `laurent@maths.usyd.edu.au`